

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/728,107	HILLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bunjoo Jaroenchonwanit	2466	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Bunjoo Jaroenchonwanit. (3) ____.
- (2) Han Gim. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 October 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1-32.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner initiates call to Mr. Gim, applicant's representative. Since the amendment has taken on examiner's suggestion and corresponding to process steps in figure 4, claims 1 and 8 are allowed. Consequently, their dependent claims (2-5 and 9-12) are also allowed. However, claims 15, 20, 25, and 27 are broader aspects of claims 1 and 8, which cannot be allowed and obstruct the issuing of the patent. Examiner suggests that including substances of claims 1 and 6 in all independent claims or cancelling claims 15-32 could expedite the prosecution of the application. Applicant agrees to cancel claims 15-32 and authorized examiner's amendment.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

//Bunjoo Jaroenchonwanit//
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2466